	Case4:11-cv-03588-YGR Document24 Filed01/27/12 Page1 of 6				
1	JEFFERY KALLIS, ESQ. (SBN 190028) KALLIS & ASSOCIATES 333 W. San Carlos St., #800 San Jose, CA 95110 Telephone: (408) 971-4655 Facsimile: (408) 971-4644 Email: M_J_Kallis@Kallislaw.com				
2					
3					
4					
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff - VICTOR VELASQUEZ				
6	ANDREW STEARNS, ESQ. (SBN 164849) BUSTAMANTE, O'HARA & GAGLIASSO 333 W. San Carlos St., #800 San Jose, CA 95110 Telephone: (408) 971-1911 Facsimile: (408) 977-0746 Email: Astearns@boglawyers.com				
7					
8					
9					
10	Co-Counsel for Plaintiff				
11	200 E. Santa Clara St., 16th Fl.				
12					
13					
14					
15	Attorney for Defendant				
16	SJPD Officer Bret Moiseff				
17	MICHAEL C. SERVERIAN, ESQ. (SBN 133203)				
18	RANKIN, LANDSNESS, LAHDE, SERVERIAN & STOCK 96 No. Third Street, Suite 500 San Jose, California 95112 Telephone: (408) 293-0463 Facsimile: (408) 293-9514 Email: mserverian@rllss.com				
19					
20					
21					
22	Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF SANTA CLARA, STEVE BURRESS,				
23	CRAIG MIDDLEKAUFF, NICK RICHARDS,				
	CHIEF STEPHEN LODGE				

FIRST AMENDED JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

3. Current Deadlines:

There is no trial date. There are no deadlines or cutoffs. There are no pending motions.

The parties did select early neutral evaluation as the appropriate ADR process. On October 21, 2011 the parties requested 180 days from the date of the order referring the matter to early neutral evaluation. No neutral evaluator was ever selected nor was the early neutral evaluation ever scheduled.

There has not been a case management conference since the matter was removed to federal court.

4. **Pending Motions**:

No motions are pending. There are no briefs, motions or events of any sort pending.

Defendant Moiseff anticipates filing a summary judgment motion depending upon the facts/evidence developed in discovery. It is anticipated this motion would not be filed until discovery is completed. The primary basis on a motion for summary judgment would be qualified immunity.

5. Brief Description of Underlying Action:

Early in the year 2008, plaintiff was arrested and released after posting bail.

Thereafter, he missed his criminal court appearance. A bail bond agent was looking for him as were various law enforcement agencies. The law enforcement agencies received information that he was staying at a hotel in San Jose.

On June 20, 2008, plaintiff exited the hotel. Officers were staking out the hotel. Plaintiff walked to his vehicle and as he was about to get into his vehicle he was approached by police officers with guns drawn. Versions differ as to what happened at this point. It is not disputed that plaintiff entered his vehicle and was shot.

Plaintiff alleges that he was shot while his hands were raised over his head in surrender. Plaintiff maintains he was unarmed and presented no danger to law enforcement. Nevertheless, defendant officers Burress, Middlekauff and Richards fired

12

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

24

23

26

25

27 28 numerous rounds through plaintiff's vehicle striking him on a number of occasions in numerous places. No weapons were found on plaintiff's person or in his vehicle.

Defendants maintain that plaintiff ignored numerous verbal commands directing him to put his hands up. Plaintiff entered his vehicle and attempted to flee. No weapons were found on his person.

6. **Summary of Claims:**

Plaintiff alleges violations of due process under the fourth amendment (unreasonable search and seizure) of the United States Constitution based upon excessive force. Plaintiff also sets forth a Monell claim. Plaintiff sets forth state tort law claims for battery, assault, negligence, intentional infliction of emotional distress as well has violations of California Civil Code Sections 51.7 and 52.1.

7. **List and Description of Relief Sought and Damages Claimed:**

Plaintiff seeks damages for personal injuries receiving numerous gunshot wounds as a result of the subject incident. Plaintiff received extensive medical treatment. Plaintiff has permanent injuries.

Plaintiff seeks general damages.

Plaintiff seeks statutory penalties under California Civil Code Section 52 pursuant to Section 52.1.

Plaintiff seeks punitive damages against the individual defendants.

Plaintiff seeks all applicable attorneys fees under both federal and state law.

Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief.

Plaintiff's damages are calculated based upon lost future wages arising out of his injuries, medical costs, property damage, pain and suffering and emotional distress. Medical damages will be calculated using medical records from Valley Medical and the facilities where he has been incarcerated. Property damage will be based upon lay testimony and possibly expert testimony on the value of the vehicle and lay testimony about the property in his possession at the time of battery and subsequent arrest that was not returned. Future wage damages will be computed with the use of an expert in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

DATED: January 27, 2012

By: /s/ JEFFERY KALLIS
JEFFERY KALLIS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
VICTOR VELASQUEZ

DATED: January 27, 2012

BUSTAMANTE, O'HARA & GAGLIASSO
By: /s/ ANDREW STEARNS
ANDREW STEARNS
Co-Counsel for Plaintiff

FIRST AMENDED JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

	Case4:11-cv-03588-YGR	Document24	Filed01/27/12 Page6 of 6	
1	DATED: January 27, 2012		OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY	
2			By: <u>/s/ SHANNON SMYTH-MENDOZA</u> SHANNON SMYTH-MENDOZA	
3			Attorneys for Defendant SJPD OFFICER BRET MOISEFF	
4			SJPD OFFICER BRET MOISEFF	
5	DATED: January 27, 2012	<u> </u>	RANKIN, LANDSNESS, LAHDE, SERVERIAN & STOCK	
6			By: <u>/s/ MICHAEL C. SERVERIAN</u> MICHAEL C. SERVERIAN	
7			Attorney for Defendants CITY OF SANTA CLARA, STEVE	
8			BURRESS, NICK RICHARDS, CRAIG MIDDLEKAUFF, NICK	
9			RICHARDS, CHIEF STÉPHEN LODGE	
10				
11				
12 13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	FIRST AMENDED JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT			